

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/821,913		SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DAVID M. SCHINDLER		2858	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID M. SCHINDLER

(2) Michael Scheer

Date of Interview: 28 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A/

Claims discussed:

3, 5, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A/

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An interview was held in an attempt to put the claims in condition for allowance. Proposed amendments were submitted by Mr. Sheer. A reference later came to the attention of the Examiner who left a message for Mr. Sheer after 9/28/2010 in an attempt to discuss the claims in view of the reference. The reference can be found in the current rejection fo the claims.